(3)

C-8.100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT: BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1887 OF 2004

PETITIONER: Dilip Singh Uikey, aged 51 years, son of late Shri M.S. Uikey, Accountant, in the Office of the Conservator of Forest, working plan circle, Bilaspur, Tehsil & District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Forest, Raipur.

2. The Chief Conservator of Forest (Administration), C.C.F. Office, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

3. The Conservator of Forest, Bilaspur Circle, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

4. Ram Singh Dhruwe, aged about 50 years, Head Clerk, Office of the Divisional Forest Officer, Bilaspur Forest Division, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

5. S. Jacob, aged about 48 years, Head Clerk, Office of the Divisional Forest Officer, Marwahi Forest Division, Pendra Road, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

R. R. No. 1902/04
Presented by Shri [illegible]
dated 5-7-04

RECEIVED COPY 5/7/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT/WRITS, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS.

The petitioner most humbly and respectfully begs to submit as under: -

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER : -**

As mentioned above in the cause title.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(28)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1887 सन् / 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-8-2004 Shri Somnath Verma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Govt. Advocate, for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to promotion. He submits that his juniors have been promoted and he has not been promoted. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law after hearing the parties including respondents no. 4 and 5, as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No. 1689/2004 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	
Pathak/-		

[पीछे देखिये